

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/540,447		JANSSENS ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	EMILY BERNHARDT		1624	

All Participants:

(1) EMILY BERNHARDT.

(2) Mr. Woodrow.

Date of Interview: 5 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Emily Bernhardt/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Woodrow on 6/5/09 indicating that while rejoinder of nonelected claims 17 and 18 can occur, claim 18 is an improper multiple dependent claim and needs to be rewritten or cancelled. On 6/17/09 Mr. Woodrow left a voicemail message authorizing cancellation of claim 18. The remaining claims are in condition for allowance in view of applicants' amendments and remarks made in the response of 4/3/09.